

1 Introduction

This file explains an SDP relaxation problem generated from an optimal contribution problem in tree breeding.

The problem we want to solve can be described as an mixed-integer SOCP problem below.

$$\begin{aligned}
 OPT_{OCP} &:= \max && : \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{x} \\
 &\text{subject to} && : \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \leq 2\theta \\
 &&& : \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{x} = 1 \\
 &&& : \mathbf{l} \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{u} \\
 &&& : x_1, \dots, x_n \in \{0, \frac{1}{N}\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here, Z is the number of genotypes and the variables are x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . The vectors $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^Z$ and the matrix \mathbf{A} are given. In particular, \mathbf{A} is a symmetric positive definite matrix. For the details of \mathbf{A} , please refer to Yamashita et al. [1] We use $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{R}^Z$ is the vector of all ones. The parameter is $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and this is also given. Since each variable should be 0 or $\frac{1}{N}$, this problem is not a convex problem. In the above problem, we have to choose exactly N genotypes from Z candidates.

In the material below, we use $|S|$ to denote the cardinality of a set S . The vectors \mathbf{e}_S is the vector of all ones of the lengths $|S|$.

2 Steps to derive an SDP relaxation

To derive an SDP relaxation, we first remove the variables that can be fixed by the constraint $\mathbf{l} \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{u}$. For example, if $u_i < \frac{1}{N}$, then we fix $x_i = 0$. We use two sets F and V so that $F \cup V \subset \{1, \dots, Z\}$ and if $i \in F$, x_i can be fixed to 0 or $\frac{1}{N}$. The elements x_i with $i \in V$ remain as variables.

We sort \mathbf{x} so that $V = \{1, 2, \dots, |V|\}$ and $F = \{|V| + 1, \dots, Z\}$. Then we divide the vector \mathbf{x} into \mathbf{x}_V and \mathbf{x}_F . In particular, \mathbf{x}_F is a constant vector due to $\mathbf{l} \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{u}$, we denote it as \mathbf{c}_F . Therefore, the vector \mathbf{x} can be expressed as $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_V \\ \mathbf{c}_F \end{pmatrix}$. In addition, we divide the given vectors and matrices in the corresponding dimensions. Therefore, we now have

$$\begin{aligned}
 OPT_{OCP} &= \max && : \mathbf{g}_V^T \mathbf{x}_V + \mathbf{g}_F^T \mathbf{c}_F \\
 &\text{subject to} && : \mathbf{x}_V^T \mathbf{A}_{VV} \mathbf{x}_V + 2\mathbf{c}_F^T \mathbf{A}_{FV} \mathbf{x}_V + \mathbf{c}_F^T \mathbf{A}_{FF} \mathbf{c}_F \leq 2\theta \\
 &&& : \mathbf{e}_V^T \mathbf{x}_V + \mathbf{e}_F^T \mathbf{c}_F = 1 \\
 &&& : x_i \in \{0, \frac{1}{N}\} \text{ for } i \in V.
 \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the binary variables $y_1, \dots, y_{|V|} \in \{-1, 1\}$ through the relation $\mathbf{y}_V := 2N\mathbf{x}_V - \mathbf{e}_V \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$. We also define

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{\min} &:= \min\{g_i : i = 1, \dots, Z\} \\
 \bar{g}_V &:= \frac{1}{4N}(\mathbf{g}_V - g_{\min}\mathbf{e}_V) \geq 0 \\
 \bar{g} &:= \frac{1}{2N}(\mathbf{g}_V - g_{\min}\mathbf{e}_V)^T \mathbf{e}_V + (\mathbf{g}_F - g_{\min}\mathbf{e}_F)^T \mathbf{c}_F + g_{\min} \\
 \bar{\mathbf{c}}_F &:= \mathbf{A}_{VV}\mathbf{e}_V + 2N\mathbf{A}_{VF}\mathbf{c}_F \\
 \bar{\theta} &:= 2N^2(2\theta - \mathbf{c}_F^T \mathbf{A}_{FF} \mathbf{c}_F) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}_V^T \mathbf{A}_{VV} \mathbf{e}_V - 2N\mathbf{c}_F^T \mathbf{A}_{FV} \mathbf{e}_V \\
 \bar{N} &:= 2N(1 - \mathbf{e}_F^T \mathbf{c}_F) - |V|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{x} = 2\bar{\mathbf{g}}_V^T \mathbf{y}_V + \bar{g}$ by $\mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{x} = 1$. Therefore, we can describe the problem in another form.

$$\begin{aligned} OPT_{OCP} = \max \quad & : 2\bar{\mathbf{g}}_V^T \mathbf{y}_V + \bar{g} \\ \text{subject to} \quad & : \mathbf{y}_V^T \mathbf{A}_{VV} \mathbf{y}_V + 2\bar{\mathbf{c}}_F^T \mathbf{y}_V \leq 2\bar{\theta} \\ & \mathbf{e}_V^T \mathbf{y}_V = \bar{N} \\ & (\mathbf{e}_V \mathbf{e}_V^T) \bullet (\mathbf{y}_V \mathbf{y}_V^T) = \bar{N}^2 \\ & y_i \in \{-1, 1\} \text{ for } i \in V. \end{aligned}$$

We add a redundant equation $(\mathbf{e}_V \mathbf{e}_V^T) \bullet (\mathbf{y}_V \mathbf{y}_V^T) = \bar{N}^2$ to make the relaxation tighter.

We introduce a variable matrix $\mathbf{Y}_{VV} \in \mathbb{S}^{|V|}$ and derive an SDP relaxation.

$$\begin{aligned} OPT_{SDP} = \max \quad & : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{\mathbf{g}}_V^T \\ \bar{\mathbf{g}}_V & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{y}_V^T \\ \mathbf{y}_V & \mathbf{Y}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} + \bar{g} \\ \text{subject to} \quad & : \begin{pmatrix} -2\bar{\theta} & \bar{\mathbf{c}}_F^T \\ \bar{\mathbf{c}}_F & \mathbf{A}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{y}_V^T \\ \mathbf{y}_V & \mathbf{Y}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} \leq 0 \\ & \begin{pmatrix} -2\bar{N} & \mathbf{e}_V^T \\ \mathbf{e}_V & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{y}_V^T \\ \mathbf{y}_V & \mathbf{Y}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \\ & \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{N}^2 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}_V \mathbf{e}_V^T \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{y}_V^T \\ \mathbf{y}_V & \mathbf{Y}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \\ & \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_i^T \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{y}_V^T \\ \mathbf{y}_V & \mathbf{Y}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \text{ for } i \in V \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{y}_V^T \\ \mathbf{y}_V & \mathbf{Y}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} \succeq \mathbf{O} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we employ an slack variable t and a variable y_{00} to derive a standard SDP form.

$$\begin{aligned} -OPT_{SDP} = \min \quad & : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ 0 & 0 & -\bar{\mathbf{g}}_V^T \\ \mathbf{0} & -\bar{\mathbf{g}}_V & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ 0 & y_{00} & \mathbf{y}_V^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{y}_V & \mathbf{Y}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} - \bar{g} \\ \text{subject to} \quad & : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ 0 & -2\bar{\theta} & \bar{\mathbf{c}}_F^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \bar{\mathbf{c}}_F & \mathbf{A}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ 0 & y_{00} & \mathbf{y}_V^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{y}_V & \mathbf{Y}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ 0 & -2\bar{N} & \mathbf{e}_V^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}_V & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ 0 & y_{00} & \mathbf{y}_V^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{y}_V & \mathbf{Y}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ 0 & -\bar{N}^2 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}_V \mathbf{e}_V^T \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ 0 & y_{00} & \mathbf{y}_V^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{y}_V & \mathbf{Y}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ 0 & -1 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_i^T \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ 0 & y_{00} & \mathbf{y}_V^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{y}_V & \mathbf{Y}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \text{ for } i \in V \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ 0 & y_{00} & \mathbf{y}_V^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{y}_V & \mathbf{Y}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} = 1 \\ & \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ 0 & y_{00} & \mathbf{y}_V^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{y}_V & \mathbf{Y}_{VV} \end{pmatrix} \succeq \mathbf{O} \end{aligned}$$

Note that this SDP problem does not have interior-points and this fact causes numerical difficulty.

References

- [1] Makoto Yamashita, Tim J Mullin, and Sena Safarina. An efficient second-order cone programming approach for optimal selection in tree breeding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.04487*, 2015.