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- Main purpose of my talk is "an introduction to the recent development of SDP relaxation in connection with the classical Lagrangian relaxation".
- Although the title includes "polynomial optimization problems", I will mainly talk about "quadratic optimization problems" for simplicity of discussions.
- But most of the discussions can be extended to "polynomial optimization problems".
- This material is available at http://www.is.titech.ac.jp/~kojima/talk.html
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## Optimization Problem

$\mathcal{P}_{0}$ minimize $f_{0}(x)$ sub. to $x \in S_{0}$, where $f_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $S_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Difficult to compute exact global optimal solutions of general nonlinear programs and combinatorial optimization problems

Equality and inequality constrained optimization problem minimize $f_{0}(x)$
subject to $f_{i}(x) \leq 0(i=1,2, \ldots, \ell), f_{j}(x)=0(j=\ell+1, \ldots, m)$.

- Various assumptions imposed on $f_{i}$
"Continuous", "Smooth", "Convex"
"Linear + Quadratic", "Multivariate polynomial functions"


## Optimization Problem

$\mathcal{P}_{0}$ minimize $f_{0}(x)$ sub. to $x \in S_{0}$, where $f_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $S_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Difficult to compute exact global optimal solutions of general nonlinear programs and combinatorial optimization problems

Equality and inequality constrained optimization problem minimize $f_{0}(x)$
subject to $f_{i}(x) \leq 0(i=1,2, \ldots, \ell), f_{j}(x)=0(j=\ell+1, \ldots, m)$.

- "Linear + Quadratic" is easily manageable, yet has enough power to describe various optimization models including combinatorial optimization problem;
$0-1$ variable; $x_{j}=0$ or $1 \Leftrightarrow x_{j}\left(x_{j}-1\right)=0 \quad$ (quadratic equality)
- Powerful mathematics and tools behind "Linear + Quadratic", "Multivariate polynomial functions" such as SDP relaxation and sums of squares polynomial relaxation.


## Optimization Problem

$\mathcal{P}_{0}$ minimize $f_{0}(x)$ sub. to $x \in S_{0}$, where $f_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $S_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Example 1 QOP (Quadratic optimization problem)

$$
\operatorname{minimize} x_{2}^{2} \text { sub.to } x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \leq 4,-x_{1}^{2} / 8+1 \leq x_{2} .
$$

Example 2 POP (Polynomial optimization problem)

$$
\text { minimize }-x_{1}^{3}+2 x_{1} x_{2}^{2} \text { sub.to } x_{1}^{4}+x_{2}^{4} \leq 1, x_{1} \geq 0, x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \geq 0.5
$$

We will mainly focus our attention to QOPs, but we can adapt the discussions here to POPs with slight modification.

## Optimization Problem

$\mathcal{P}_{0}$ minimize $f_{0}(x)$ sub. to $x \in S_{0}$, where $f_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $S_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
$\Downarrow$
Approximation of global optimal solutions:
(i) Methods to generate a feasible solution $x \in S_{0}$ having a smaller objective value $f_{0}(x)$.
(ii) Methods to compute a lower bound for the unknown optimal value.
(ii) $\Longleftarrow$ Various relaxation techniques

## Optimization Problem

$\mathcal{P}_{0}$ minimize $f_{0}(x)$ sub. to $x \in S_{0}$, where $f_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $S_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Relaxation of $\mathcal{P}_{0}: \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{0}$ minimize $\tilde{f}_{0}(x)$ sub.to $x \in \tilde{S}_{0}$, where $S_{0} \subseteq \tilde{S}_{0}$, and $\tilde{f}_{0}(x) \leq f_{0}(x)\left(\forall x \in S_{0}\right)$

$f_{0}^{*} \equiv$ the unknown min. value of $\mathcal{P}_{0} \geq \tilde{f}_{0}^{*} \equiv$ the min. value of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{0}$ If the difference $f_{0}(\widehat{x})-\tilde{f}_{0}^{*}$ between $f_{0}(\widehat{x})$ at a feasible solution $\widehat{x} \in S_{0}$ and $\tilde{f}_{0}^{*}$ is small, then we use $\widehat{x}$ as an approximate optimal solution of $\mathcal{P}_{0}$

## Optimization Problem

$\mathcal{P}_{0}$ minimize $f_{0}(x)$ sub. to $x \in S_{0}$, where $f_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $S_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Relaxation of $\mathcal{P}_{0}: \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{0}$ minimize $\tilde{f}_{0}(x)$ sub.to $x \in \tilde{S}_{0}$, where $S_{0} \subseteq \tilde{S}_{0}$, and $\tilde{f}_{0}(x) \leq f_{0}(x)\left(\forall x \in S_{0}\right)$


Conditions to be satisfied by the relaxation problem $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{0}$ :

- $S_{0} \subseteq \tilde{S}_{0}$
- $\tilde{f}_{0}(x) \leq f_{0}(x)\left(\forall x \in S_{0}\right)$
- For $y \notin S_{0}, \tilde{f}_{0}(y)$ can take any value
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Lagrangian relaxation - A classical method of constructing relaxations of equality and/or inequality constrained optimization problems

Inequality constrained optimization problem
minimize $f_{0}(x)$ sub.to $x \in S_{0}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: f_{j}(x) \leq 0(j=1, \ldots, m)\right\}$
Lagrangian function:

$$
L(x, w)=f_{0}(x)+w_{1} f_{1}(x)+w_{2} f_{2}(x)+\cdots+w_{m} f_{m}(x)
$$

where $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \equiv\left\{\boldsymbol{w}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}: w_{j} \geq 0\right\}$.
Properties of Lagrangian function: for $\forall \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \in S_{0} \Rightarrow f_{j}(x) \leq 0(j=1,2, \ldots, m) \Rightarrow \\
& L(x, w)=f_{0}(x)+w_{1} f_{1}(x)+w_{2} f_{2}(x)+\cdots+w_{m} f_{m}(x) \leq f_{0}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lagrange relaxation problem: For $\forall$ fixed $w \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$, minimize $L(x, w)$ sub.to $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
$S_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, L(w, x) \leq f_{0}(x)$ if $x \in S_{0}$.
Hence $L^{*}(w) \equiv \min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} L(x, w) \leq \min _{x \in S_{0}} f_{0}(x)\left(\forall w \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right)$

Inequality constrained optimization problem
minimize $f_{0}(x)$ sub.to $x \in S_{0}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: f_{j}(x) \leq 0(j=1, \ldots, m)\right\}$
Lagrangian function:

$$
L(x, w)=f_{0}(x)+w_{1} f_{1}(x)+w_{2} f_{2}(x)+\cdots+w_{m} f_{m}(x)
$$

where $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \equiv\left\{\boldsymbol{w}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}: w_{j} \geq 0\right\}$.
Example 2 (Polynomial optimization problem)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} & -x_{1}^{3}+2 x_{1} x_{2}^{2} \\
\text { sub.to } & x_{1}^{4}+x_{2}^{4}-1 \leq 0,-x_{1} \leq 0,-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-0.5 \leq 0
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(x, w) \equiv & -x_{1}^{3}+2 x_{1} x_{2}^{2}+w_{1}\left(x_{1}^{4}+x_{2}^{4}-1\right) \\
& +w_{2}\left(-x_{1}\right)+w_{3}\left(-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-0.5\right) \\
= & w_{1} x_{1}^{4}+w_{1} x_{2}^{4}-x_{1}^{3}+2 x_{1} x_{2}^{2} \\
& -w_{3} x_{1}^{2}-w_{3} x_{2}^{2}-w_{2} x_{1}-w_{1}-0.5 w_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{1} \geq 0, w_{2} \geq 0$.
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Inequality constrained optimization problem
minimize $f_{0}(x)$ sub.to $x \in S_{0}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: f_{j}(x) \leq 0(j=1, \ldots, m)\right\}$
Lagrangian function:

$$
L(x, w)=f_{0}(x)+w_{1} f_{1}(x)+w_{2} f_{2}(x)+\cdots+w_{m} f_{m}(x)
$$

where $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \equiv\left\{\boldsymbol{w}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}: w_{j} \geq 0\right\}$.
Lagrangian relaxation problem: For every fix $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$,

$$
\operatorname{minimize} L(x, w) \text { sub.to } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Define $L^{*}(w) \equiv \min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} L(x, w) \leq \min _{x \in S_{0}} f_{0}(x)\left(\forall w \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right)$
Lagrangian dual (The best Lagrangian relaxation problem)

$$
\operatorname{maximize}_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \boldsymbol{L}^{*}(\boldsymbol{w})}
$$

## 1

$\operatorname{maximize}_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}} \operatorname{minimize}_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} L(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{w})$

Inequality constrained optimization problem minimize $f_{0}(x)$ sub.to $x \in S_{0}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: f_{j}(x) \leq 0(j=1, \ldots, m)\right\}$

Example 2 (Polynomial optimization problem)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} & -x_{1}^{3}+2 x_{1} x_{2}^{2} \\
\text { sub.to } & x_{1}^{4}+x_{2}^{4}-1 \leq 0,-x_{1} \leq 0,-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-0.5 \leq 0
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(x, w) \equiv & -x_{1}^{3}+2 x_{1} x_{2}^{2}+w_{1}\left(x_{1}^{4}+x_{2}^{4}-1\right) \\
& +w_{2}\left(-x_{1}\right)+w_{3}\left(-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-0.5\right) \\
= & w_{1} x_{1}^{4}+w_{1} x_{2}^{4}-x_{1}^{3}+2 x_{1} x_{2}^{2} \\
& -w_{3} x_{1}^{2}-w_{3} x_{2}^{2}-w_{2} x_{1}-w_{1}-0.5 w_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{1} \geq 0, w_{2} \geq 0$.
Lagrangian dual: $\max _{\min } L(x, w)$.

$$
\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \geq 0\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

- Although we introduce the Lagrangian dual, its minimization is difficult. $\Rightarrow$ SOS, SDP relaxation
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QOP minimize $f_{0}(x) \equiv x^{T} Q_{0} x+q_{0}^{T} x$
sub.to $\quad f_{i}(x) \equiv x^{T} Q_{i} x+q_{i}^{T} x+\pi_{i} \leq 0(i=1, \ldots, m)$.
Here $\quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ : a vector variable, $Q_{i}: n \times n$ symmetric matrix, $q_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \pi_{i} \in \mathbb{R}:$ constant

Notation: Given $n \times n$ symmetric matrix $Q, X, Q \bullet X=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_{j k} X_{j k}$.

$$
x^{T} Q x=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_{j k} x_{j} x_{k}=Q \bullet x x^{T} .
$$

Here $x x^{T}$ becomes an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix;

$$
x x^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} x_{1} & x_{1} x_{2} & \cdots & x_{1} x_{n} \\
x_{2} x_{1} & x_{2} x_{2} & \cdots & x_{1} x_{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
x_{n} x_{1} & x_{n} x_{2} & \cdots & x_{n} x_{n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { QOP } & \text { minimize } & f_{0}(x) \equiv x^{T} Q_{0} x+q_{0}^{T} \\
& \text { sub.to } & f_{i}(x) \equiv x^{T} Q_{i} x+q_{i}^{T} x+\pi_{i} \leq 0(i=1, \ldots, m)
\end{array}
$$

I equivalent

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} & Q_{0} \bullet x x^{T}+q_{0}^{T} x \\
\text { sub.to } & Q_{i} \bullet x x^{T}+q_{i}^{T} x+\pi_{i} \leq 0(i=1, \ldots, m)
\end{array}
$$

I equivalent

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} & Q_{0} \bullet X+q_{0}^{T} x \\
\text { sub.to } & Q_{i} \bullet X+q_{i}^{T} x+\pi_{i} \leq 0(i=1, \ldots, m), X-x x^{T}=O \\
\hline \Downarrow \operatorname{SDP} \text { relaxation }
\end{array}
$$

```
minimize \(Q_{0} \bullet X+q_{0}^{T} x\)
sub.to \(\quad Q_{i} \bullet X+q_{i}^{T} x+\pi_{i} \leq 0(i=1, \ldots, m), X-x x^{T} \succeq O\).
```

Here $A \succeq O \Leftrightarrow$ a symmetric matrix $A$ is positive semidefinite, all eigenvalues of $A$ are nonnegative or $\boldsymbol{u}^{T} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{u} \geq 0$ for $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

QOP minimize $f_{0}(x) \equiv x^{T} Q_{0} x+q_{0}^{T}$

$$
\text { sub.to } \quad f_{i}(x) \equiv x^{T} Q_{i} x+q_{i}^{T} x+\pi_{i} \leq 0(i=1, \ldots, m)
$$

$\Downarrow$ SDP relaxation
minimize $Q_{0} \bullet X+q_{0}^{T} x$
sub.to $\quad Q_{i} \bullet X+q_{i}^{T} x+\pi_{i} \leq 0(i=1, \ldots, m), X-x x^{T} \succeq O$.

$$
\text { (1) equivalent } X-x x^{T} \succeq O \Leftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x^{T} \\
x & X
\end{array}\right) \succeq O
$$

SDP: minimize $Q_{0} \bullet X+q_{0}^{T} x$
sub.to

$$
Q_{i} \bullet X+q_{i}^{T} x+\pi_{i} \leq 0(i=1, \ldots, m),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x^{T} \\
x & X
\end{array}\right) \succeq O
$$

- SDP is an extension of LP (Linear Program) to the space of symmetric matrices.
- SDPs with $m, n=$ a few thousands can be solved by Interior-point methods, which was originally developed for LPs.
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Preparation - 1
$\boldsymbol{\lambda}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

$$
\zeta^{*}=\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \lambda(x)
$$

1
Semi-infinite optimization problem (Optimization problem having an infinite number of inequality constraints)

$$
\text { maximize } \zeta \text { subject to } \lambda(x)-\zeta \geq 0\left(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

Here $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes a variable but $x$ an index parameter describing an infinite number of inequality constraints.


## Preparation - 2

Nonnegative quadratic functions

$$
\lambda(x) \equiv x^{T} Q x+q^{T} x+\gamma \geq 0 \text { for } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

I
$\lambda(x)$ : a sum of squares of linear functions

$$
=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(a_{i}^{T} x+b_{i}\right)^{2} \quad \text { for } \exists a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \exists b_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}
$$

I

$$
\lambda(x) \equiv x^{T} Q x+q^{T} x+\gamma=\left(1, x^{T}\right) V\binom{1}{x} \text { for } \exists V \succeq O \text { and } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

## Preparation - 3

Nonnegative polynomial functions with degree $\ell \leq 2 m$.

$$
\lambda(x) \geq 0 \text { for } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

介
$\lambda(x)$ : a sum of squares of polynomial functions with degree $\leq m$
$=\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{i}(x)^{2}$ for $\exists$ polynomial functions $g_{i}(x)$ with degree $\leq m, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$.

II

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda(x)= & u(x) V u(x)^{T} \text { for } \exists V \succeq O \text { and } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \\
& \text { where } u(x)=\left(1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}^{2}, \ldots, x_{1}^{m}, \ldots, x_{n}^{m}\right) \\
& (\text { a row vector of basis for a real valued polynomial of degree } m)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Preparation - 4

Example. Characterization of a nonnegative quadratic function $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{x})=$ $d+b x_{1}+c x_{2}+x_{1}^{2}+a x_{1} x_{2}+2 x_{2}^{2}$ : Choose $a, b, c, d$ such that $\lambda(x) \geq 0$ for $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d+b x_{1}+c x_{2}+x_{1}^{2}+a x_{1} x_{2}+2 x_{2}^{2}=\left(1, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) V\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =V_{00}+2 V_{01} x_{1}+2 V_{02} x_{2}+V_{11} x_{1}^{2}+2 V_{12} x_{1} x_{2}+V_{22} x_{2}^{2} \\
& \quad \text { for } \exists V=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
V_{00} & V_{01} & V_{02} \\
V_{01} & V_{11} & V_{12} \\
V_{02} & V_{12} & V_{22}
\end{array}\right) \succeq O
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbb{I}$ The coefficients of $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}$ in both side must coincide to each other, respectively.

$$
d=V_{00}, b=2 V_{01}, c=2 V_{02}, 1=V_{11}, a=2 V_{12}, 2=2 V_{22}, V \succeq O
$$

(Linear Matrix Inequality)

QOP minimize $f_{0}(x) \equiv x^{T} Q_{0} x+q_{0}^{T}$

$$
\text { sub.to } \quad f_{i}(x) \equiv x^{T} Q_{i} x+q_{i}^{T} x+\pi_{i} \leq 0(i=1, \ldots, m) .
$$

## Lagrangian relaxation with a fixed parameter $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$

$$
\operatorname{minimize} L(x, w) \equiv f_{0}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{i} f_{i}(x) \text { sub.to } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

I equivalent

$$
\text { maximize } \zeta \text { sub.to } f_{0}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{i} f_{i}(x)-\zeta \geq 0\left(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

I equivalent

$$
\text { maximize } \zeta \text { sub.to } f_{0}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{i} f_{i}(x)-\zeta=\left(1, x^{T}\right) V\binom{1}{x} \text { for } \exists V \succeq O .
$$

I Comparison of coefficients of every monomial in both side

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { SDP: } & \text { maximize } \zeta \\
& \text { sub.to Linear equations in } V, V \succeq O
\end{array}
$$

QOP minimize $f_{0}(x) \equiv x^{T} Q_{0} x+q_{0}^{T}$

$$
\text { sub.to } \quad f_{i}(x) \equiv x^{T} Q_{i} x+q_{i}^{T} x+\pi_{i} \leq 0(i=1, \ldots, m)
$$

Lagrangian relaxation with a fixed parameter $w \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$
I equivalent

```
SDP: maximize }
    sub.to Linear equations in }V,V\succeq
```

maximization in $w \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \Downarrow$ The best Lagrangian relaxation

| SDP: | maximize $\zeta$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | sub.to Linear equations in $w \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$ and $V, V \succeq O$ |

SDP relaxation of QOP I dual

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} & Q_{0} \bullet X+q_{0}^{T} x \\
\text { sub.to } & Q_{i} \bullet X+q_{i}^{T} x+\pi_{i} \leq 0(i=1, \ldots, m),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x^{T} \\
x & X
\end{array}\right) \succeq O
\end{array}
$$

## Outline

1. Optimization problems and their relaxation
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QOP minimize $f_{0}(x) \equiv x^{T} Q_{0} x+q_{0}^{T}$ sub.to $\quad f_{i}(x) \equiv x^{T} Q_{i} x+q_{i}^{T} x+\pi_{i} \leq 0(i=1, \ldots, m)$.

## QOP <br> $\Rightarrow \quad$ SDP relaxation of QOP

I Duality theory
Lagrangian dual of QOP
$\Leftrightarrow$ Dual SDP relaxation of QOP

- $\Leftrightarrow$ follows from

Nonnegative quadratic functions $=$ Sum of squares of linear functions

- Optimal values

$$
\mathrm{QOP} \geq \text { Lagrangian dual }=\mathrm{SDP}=\text { Dual SDP. }
$$

- Computation

SDP, Dual SDP can be solved by interior-point methods.

POP minimize $f_{0}(x)$ sub.to $f_{i}(x) \leq 0(i=1, \ldots, m)$, where $f_{i}(x)$ denotes a polynomial in $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}(i=0,1,2, \ldots, m)$. POP $\Rightarrow$ SDP relaxation of POP
$\Downarrow$
Lagrangian dual of POP

I Duality theory
$\Rightarrow$ Dual SDP relaxation of POP

- $\Rightarrow$ follows from

Nonnegative polynomials $\supset$ Sum of squares of polynomials

- Optimal values

$$
\mathrm{QOP} \geq \text { Lagrangian dual } \geq \mathrm{SDP}=\text { Dual } \mathrm{SDP}
$$

- Computation

SDP, Dual SDP can be solved by interior-point methods.

This presentation material is available at
http://www.is.titech.ac.jp/~kojima/talk.html

Thank you!
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